

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION RECEIVED

COMMISSIONERS

BOB STUMP - Chairman
GARY PIERCE
BRENDA BURNS

A CORP COMMISSION

BRENDA BURNS
BOB BURNS
SUSAN BITTER SMITH

Arizona Corporation Commission

2014 SEP 19 A 11: 47

DOCKETED

SEP 1 9 2014

DOCKETED BY

DOCKET NO. E-01773A-12-0305

ORIGINAL

PROCEDURAL ORDER RE ECAR APPLICATION

INC. FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS PROPERTY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE RETURN THEREON AND TO APPROVE RATES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP SUCH RETURN.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA ELECTRIC POWER COOPERATIVE.

BY THE COMMISSION:

On July 5, 2012, Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. ("AEPCO") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for a rate decrease.

On October 25, 2013, the Commission issued Decision No. 74173. Among other things, Decision No. 74173 ordered the record in this case to be held open until April 30, 2014, for the limited purpose of allowing AEPCO to file for Commission approval, if it so chose, after collaboration with the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff"), a proposed Environmental Compliance Adjustment Rider ("ECAR") and plan of administration fully addressing the technical points raised by Staff in the rate proceeding. In the event AEPCO chose to file an ECAR application, Decision No. 74173 also ordered AEPCO to provide notice of its filing to the customers of its member distribution cooperatives, due to the possibility of significant rate increases through an ECAR surcharge that would be passed on to the customers of its Class A member distribution cooperatives. Decision No. 74173 ordered AEPCO to file proof of notice within 30 days of the filing of an ECAR application.

On April 30, 2014, AEPCO filed in this docket an Application for Approval of the ECAR Plan of Administration and Tariff ("ECAR Application").

The state of the s

or before November 13, 2014, its Response to the Staff Report.

On July 24, 2014, AEPCO filed affidavits of publication confirming that it had caused notice of its ECAR Application to be published on June 20, 2014 in the *Mohave Daily News*, on July 21, 2014, in the *Arizona Daily Star*, and in the July 2014 issue of *Currents* magazine.

On July 30, 2014, the Commission issued Decision No. 74600 in this docket, amending Decision No. 74173 to extend the time for AEPCO to complete customer notice of its ECAR Application.

On September 2, 2014, AEPCO filed a Request for Procedural Order Re ECAR Application ("Request"). Therein, AEPCO proposed the following filing schedule for the ECAR Application:

Staff Report and Proposed Order

October 23, 2014

AEPCO Response to Staff Report and AEPCO Proposed Order

November 13, 2014

AEPCO anticipates that its proposed filing schedule will provide sufficient time for the Commission to consider a Recommended Opinion and Order¹ prepared by the Hearing Division at its January 2015 Open Meeting.

Staff did not file a response to the Request.

As no party has requested that a hearing be held on the ECAR Application, the proposed schedule for the filing of a Staff Report and AEPCO's Response to the Staff Report is reasonable. While the Request included language regarding "Proposed Orders" to be filed by Staff and AEPCO, the Request also indicates that due to the existence of a disputed issue between the parties, AEPCO anticipates that the Hearing Division will prepare a Recommended Order for the Commission's consideration. Therefore, neither party will be required to file a proposed form of order.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Staff shall file, on or before October 23, 2014, a Staff Report on the ECAR Application including Staff's analysis and a recommendation for Commission action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. shall file, on

¹ It is assumed that AEPCO intended to reference a "Recommended Order" instead of "Recommended Opinion and Order," since AEPCO did not propose that a hearing be held on the ECAR Application.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend, 2 or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at 3 hearing. DATED this 19 day of September, 2014. 4 5 6 7 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 8 9 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered this \and the day of September 2014 to: 10 Michael M. Grant 11 Jennifer Cranston GALLAGHER & KENNEDY P.A. 12 2575 E. Camelback Road Phoenix, AZ 85016-9225 13 Attorneys for AEPCO 14 Michael W. Patten ROSHKA, DEWULF & PATTEN PLC 15 400 E. Van Buren, Suite 800 Phoenix, AZ 85004 16 Attorneys for Trico 17 Russell E. Jones WATERFALL ECONOMIDIS CALDWELL 18 HANSHAW & VILLAMANA P.C. 5210 E. Williams Circle, Suite 800 19 Tucson, AZ 85711 Attorneys for Trico 20 Vincent Nitido 21 Karen Cathers TRICO ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. 22 8600 W. Tangerine Road P.O. Box 930 23 Marana, AZ 85653 24 Jeffrey W. Crockett BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER 25 SCHRECK LLP One E. Washington St., Suite 2400 26 Phoenix, AZ 85004 Attorneys for SSVEC 27

28

1	Kirby Chapman SSVEC
2	311 E. Wilcox Dr. Sierra Vista, AZ 85635
3	Michael A. Curtis
4	William P. Sullivan 501 East Thomas Road
5	Phoenix, AZ 85012-3205 Attorneys for Mohave
6	Tyler Carlson
7	Peggy Gilman MOHAVE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE
8	INCORPORATED PO BOX 1045
9	Bullhead City, AZ 86430
10	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Legal Division
11	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street
12	Phoenix, AZ 85007
13	Steven M. Olea, Director Utilities Division
14	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street
15	Phoenix, AZ 85007
16	By: Tamor la carda
17	Tammy Velarde Assistant to Teana Jibilian
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	